

Addressing the past, present, and future for people of childbearing potential on anti-seizure medicines

April 2021



Addressing past harm

People harmed by anti-seizure medicines during pregnancy deserve support, recognition, and closure.

How to achieve this

Restorative process

• Implement a nationwide restorative process for anyone affected by anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy e.g. people with FACS, families, whanau, communities, healthcare professionals, governmental bodies/organisations.

Apology

• A formal apology should be given by the Government of New Zealand, to the people and families affected by anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy.

Education

• When ACC has a claim through Treatment Injury for FACS related cases then education is provided to the particular DHB.

Diagnosis and support

- Establishment of a FACS expert diagnostic pathway team for babies/children/adults exposed to anti-seizure medicine(s) during pregnancy.
 - A retrospective national lookback of people exposed to anti-seizure medicines during pregnancy
 - If a person is deemed to have been affected by anti-seizure medicine(s) during pregnancy then they either receive ACC (if eligible) or Disability Support Services (MOH)
 - The "Diagnosis and management of individuals with Fetal Valproate Spectrum Disorder; a consensus statement from the European Reference Network for Congenital Malformations and Intellectual Disability" guidelines should be followed. <u>https://ojrd.biomedcentral.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s13023-019-1064-y.pdf</u>
 - FACS and the respective individual syndromes should be recognised as a disability.



Addressing present needs

People currently on anti-seizure medicines deserve informed consent, informed choice, and a whole of system approach.

How to achieve this

Risk mitigation

- Require healthcare professionals to adhere to The Code of Health and Disability Services Consumers' Rights and fully inform consumers regarding the risks of anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy.
- Design a colour coded system which clearly indicates which category the medicine falls into.
 - Under each colour there would be a set of either mandatory requirements (e.g. for red zone medicines) or recommendation/guidelines (e.g. for light blue zone medicines) to be followed.
 - Please see tables on pgs. 16-17 which come from https://ansm.sante.fr/actualites/antiepileptiques-au-cours-de-la-grossesse-etat-actuel-des-connaissances-sur-les-risques-de-malformations-et-de-troubles-neuro-developpementaux to give an indication of how colour coding could be done, but recommendations for each medicine has not be given.
- Have alerts, flow charts and/or other systems in place to ensure warnings and information regarding anti-seizure medicine use in pregnancy is occurring, and healthcare professionals not just Xing out of it.
- When a review is conducted on an anti-seizure medicine then consumer groups and/or individuals should be part of the consultation process of the review.
- Legislative/regulatory changes
 - Make it compulsory for medicines to remain in original packaging, with pregnancy warnings, including pictogram, on them if they are known, or suspected, to be harmful during pregnancy.
 - Make it compulsory for medicines to have a pregnancy pictogram on the blister foil if the medicine is known, or suspected, to be harmful to an unborn baby.
 - Make consumer medicine information (CMI) sheets legislative. The CMI needs to have compulsory information around pregnancy, risk to a foetus and breastfeeding that is an easy read of the information in the datasheet.
 - Legislation to make patient information leaflets in boxes compulsory, and messaging that is consistent with the CMI sheet.
 - Make it compulsory to provide information, including resources to the consumer and healthcare professionals around anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy.
- Special Authority (under PHARMAC/MOH), or something similar, for sodium valproate (Epilim) for people of childbearing potential.
- Have a collaborative meeting with regulators, councils etc for anyone who prescribes, dispensers or provides support to people of childbearing potential that are on anti-seizure medicines.
- Medicines regulatory authority to talk about off label prescribing, and when talking to media mention other therapeutic uses of the medicine e.g. bipolar, pain relief.



Surveillance

- A post marketing surveillance needs to be established in regards to exposure to anti-seizure medicines and pregnancy.
- Pregnancy register/registry needs to be established.
- All FACS diagnosis to be coded against ICD and SNOMED.

Prevention

- Funding for the Conporto, Event Detection and Mitigation (EDM) software throughout all GP practices, pharmacies, and hospital settings. This should be done for a minimum of 5 years to see if this can make a difference. Currently sodium valproate is the only anti-seizure medicine listed on the EDM. All anti-seizure medicines should be on the EDM.
- Recall people of childbearing potential that are currently on sodium valproate, to ensure they are fully informed.
- Continue to have an ACC FACS Prevention team.
- When ACC has a claim through Treatment Injury for FACS related cases then education is provided to the particular DHB.
- Hold education sessions by an expert medical professional and member of FACSNZ, for healthcare professionals to engage with them about providing information and resources to their consumers.
- Launch an awareness campaign into the prevention of FACS, by informed decision making.

Maternity

- The baby's NHI number should be flagged immediately after birth and noted on their record what medicines the baby had been exposed to, the dose, and gestation.
- Maternity documentation to include exposure to medicines during pregnancy, particularly those that are suspected or known to cause harm to an unborn baby.

Diagnosis and support

- Establishment of a FACS expert diagnostic pathway team for babies/children/adults exposed to anti-seizure medicine(s) during pregnancy.
 - A retrospective national lookback of people exposed to anti-seizure medicines during pregnancy
 - If a person is deemed to have been affected by anti-seizure medicine(s) during pregnancy then they either receive ACC (if eligible) or Disability Support Services (MOH)
 - The "Diagnosis and management of individuals with Fetal Valproate Spectrum Disorder; a consensus statement from the European Reference Network for Congenital Malformations and Intellectual Disability" guidelines should be followed. <u>https://ojrd.biomedcentral.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s13023-019-1064-y.pdf</u>
 - FACS and the respective individual syndromes should be recognised as a disability.



Addressing future peoples' needs

People who will take anti-seizure medicines deserve a system that is working with them and their baby's interests.

How to achieve this

Risk mitigation

- Require healthcare professionals to adhere to The Code of Health and Disability Services Consumers' Rights and fully inform consumers regarding the risks of anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy.
- Design a colour coded system which clearly indicates which category the medicine falls into.
 - Under each colour there would be a set of either mandatory requirements (e.g. for red zone medicines) or recommendation/guidelines (e.g. for light blue zone medicines) to be followed.

Please see tables on pgs. 16-17 which come from <u>https://ansm.sante.fr/actualites/antiepileptiques-au-cours-de-la-grossesse-etat-actuel-des-connaissances-sur-les-risques-de-malformations-et-de-troubles-neuro-developmementaux to give an indication of how colour coding could be done, but</u>

<u>developpementaux</u> to give an indication of how colour coding could be done, but recommendations for each medicine has not be given.

- Special Authority (under PHARMAC/MOH), or something similar, for sodium valproate (Epilim) for people of childbearing potential.
- Have alerts, flow charts and/or other systems in place to ensure warnings and information regarding anti-seizure medicine use in pregnancy is occurring, and healthcare professionals not just Xing out of it.
- When a review is conducted on an anti-seizure medicine then consumer groups and/or individuals should be part of the consultation process of the review.
- Legislative/regulatory changes
 - Make it compulsory for medicines to remain in original packaging, with pregnancy warnings, including pictogram, on them if they are known, or suspected, to be harmful during pregnancy.
 - Make it compulsory for medicines to have a pregnancy pictogram on the blister foil if the medicine is known, or suspected, to be harmful to an unborn baby.
 - Make consumer medicine information (CMI) sheets legislative. The CMI needs to have compulsory information around pregnancy, risk to a foetus and breastfeeding that is an easy read of the information in the datasheet.
 - $\circ~$ Legislation to make patient information leaflets in boxes compulsory, and messaging that is consistent with the CMI sheet.
 - Make it compulsory to provide information, including resources to the consumer and healthcare professionals around anti-seizure medicines in pregnancy.
- Special Authority (under PHARMAC/MOH), or something similar, for sodium valproate (Epilim) for people of childbearing potential.



- Have a collaborative meeting with regulators, councils etc for anyone who prescribes, dispensers or provides support to people of childbearing potential that are on anti-seizure medicines.
- Medicines regulatory authority to talk about off label prescribing, and when talking to media mention other therapeutic uses of the medicine e.g. bipolar, pain relief.

Overall responsibility

• The agency (or something new created) that has the appetite to change, with legislative authority.

2000



TABLE 2 : COMPARISON TABLE OF MALFORMATION AND NEURODEVELOPMENTAL RISKS FOLLOWING IN UTERO EXPOSURE TO ANTIEPILEPTICS

| | | Mali | ormation risks | | Decreased | Neurode | Other | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Teratogeni city in animals | ↗ in the overall frequency of major maiformations ⁽¹⁾ | Type of malformations the most overrepresented | Dose-effect relationship | hormonal contraceptive efficacy | Data available {order of magnitude} | Results/ Condusions | risk factors identified |
| Valproate | Yes | x45 | Neural tube defects, oral ciefts, hypospadias, cardiac defects, facial dysmophia, craniostenosis, renal and uro-genital defects, limb defects, multiple malformation syndromes | Yes | No | Few data (~100 pregnancies) | Confirmed risk: + reduced DQ/ID + developmental delay + autism spectrum disorder Data suggestan increase in attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder | Severe exposure |
| Topiramate | Yes | х3 | Draiclefts, hypospadias [+lowbirth weight, growth retardationand smail/or-gestationalage, microcephalia] | The data tend towards a dose- effect relationship but it remains to be confirmed | Yes | Very few data | Potential risk (signal): Neurodexelopmental disorder cases reported. Therisk cannot be nuled out and is to be considered. | Severe exposure and A Over- representation of thewomen Off-label |
| Phenobarbital /Primidone | Yes | x3 | Cardiac, oral defts, hypospadias, fadal dysmorphia, hand and foot deformities [netuding hypoplasia of the fingers], microcephalia | Dose-effect relationship suggestedbut it remains to be confirmed | Yes | (Very) few data | Contradictory studies, neurodevelopmental disorder cases reported. Therisk cannot be nåled out and is to be considered. | |
| Phenytoin / fosphenytoin | Yes | x2-3 | Cardiac, oral defts, hypospadias, fadal dysmorphia, hand and foot deformities [net.uding hypoplasia of the fingers], microcephalia | Lack of data | Yes | Very]few data | Contradictory studies, neurodevelopmental disorder cases reported. Therisk cannot beruled out and istobe considered. | |
| Carbamazepine | Yes | Upto ⊁3 | Neural tube defect, cardiac, oral defts, hypospadias, facial dysmopha, microcephalia, handand foct deformities [including hypoplasia of the fingers] | The data tend towards a dose- effect relationship | Yes | Fewdiata (~ 100 pregnancies) | Contradictory studies, neurodievelopmental disorder cases reported. Therisk cannot be ruled out and is to be considered. | Severe exposure |
| Pregabalin | Yes | Potential risk (signa) - Few data (< 200 pregnancies ⁽²⁾) (Central nervous system?) | | Lack of data | No | Additional | Data insufficient to be able to conclude | Very severe exposure and |
| Gabapentine | Yes | Fewdata (*250 pregnancies ⁰) : insufficient to be able to conclude (Renal?) | | Lack of data | No | data requested | | Very severe exposure and |
| Zonisamide | Yes | Data insufficientto conclude but specific risk profile to be considered: growth retardation and small for gestational age | | Lack of data | No | | Non-existent or almost non- existent data: noconclusion possible | Off-label |
| Vigabatrin | Yes | Data insufficient to conclude but specific risk profile to be considered: Visual field a bnormality | | Lack of data | No study | or almost | | |
| Felbamate | No | Data insufficient to conclude but specific risk profile to be considered: Haematological toxicity / hepatoxoxicity | | Lack of data | Yes | | | |

 BJ hcrease compared to the frequency observed in the general population (which is 2-3) BJ Number of pregnancies exposed in the 1st trimester, collected prospectively.

16



| | | Malformati | onrisks | | Decreased Neurodevelopmental risks | | | Other |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Teratogeni cityin animals | 7 in the overal frequency of major malformations ⁽³⁾ | Type of malformations the most overrepresented | Dose-effect relationship | hormonal contraceptive efficacy | Data available (order of magnitude) | Results/ Condusions | risk factors identified |
| Perampanel | To be reviewed | | | Lack of data | Yes | Non-existent or almost non- existent | Non-existent or almost non- existent d'ata: no conclusion possible | |
| Lacosamide | Insufficie nt | | | | No | | | |
| Retigabine | Insufficie nt | | | | No | | | |
| Eslicarbazepine | Yes | Data almost non-e pregnancies ⁽²⁾) or w | | | Yes | | | |
| Ethosuximide | Yes | pregnancies ⁽²⁾): no con | clusion possible | | No study | | | |
| Rufinamide | Yes | | | | Yes | | | |
| Tiagabine | Yes | | | | No | | | |
| Retigabine | To be reviewed | | | | Yes | | | |
| Okcarbazepine | Yes | The data available (300 – 1,000 pregnancies ⁶⁹) do not agreewitha substantial increase in the overal risk of major maformations. Further studies are required to confirm or disproveit. | | Lack of data | Yes | Data almost non- existent | Data insufficient to be able to conclude: | Severe exposure |
| Levetiracetam | Yes | Data from main studies with appropriate methodology (* 1,000 pregnancies ⁶²) do not agree with a substantial increase in the overall risk of major malformations. | Littleornot studied | | No | | | |
| Lamotrigine | Yes | The ditta available (> 5000 pregnandes ⁶⁰) do not agreewitha substantial increase in the overall risk of major malformations. | Not applicable ⁰⁰ | Dose-effect relationship reported in one study but not found in 3 other studies of the same size | On 19 June 2017 | Few data {~100} | Data too few to enable a final conclusion (nosignal in terms of IQ, evaluated up to the age of 6) | Very severe exposure |

^(B)To date, over-moresentation of a specific type of malformations has not been demonstrated, novertheless potential signals were identified occasionally in one study but not reported in the other studies; this means additional research is required (see section on kmatrigine).

Antiepileptics during pregnancy: Current state of knowledge on the risk of malformations and of neurodevelopmental disorders. Synthesis

17